## PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD'S DECISION

APPELLANT: Achille Stellato DOCKET NO.: 05-00833.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-34-112-009

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Achille Stellato, the appellant, by attorney Mitchell L. Klein of Schiller, Klein & McElroy, P.C., in Chicago, and the Lake County Board of Review.

The subject property consists of a 28-year-old, two-story style brick and frame dwelling that contains 3,668 square feet of living area. Features of the home include central air-conditioning, one fireplace, a 575 square foot garage and a partial unfinished basement.

Through his attorney, the appellant submitted evidence to the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted a grid analysis of three comparable properties. The comparables consist of two-story style brick dwellings that range in age from 25 to 27 years and range in size from 3,552 to 3,924 square feet of living area. Features of the comparables include central air-conditioning, one fireplace, garages that contain from 484 to 828 square feet of building area and full or partial basements, one of which is finished. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$117,600 to \$159,422 or from \$33.11 to \$40.63 per square foot of living area. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$151,905 or \$41.42 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to \$134,065 or \$36.55 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's total assessment of \$259,076 was disclosed.

(Continued on Next Page)

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds  $\underline{no\ change}$  in the assessment of the property as established by the  $\underline{\textbf{Lake}}$  County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 107,171 IMPR.: \$ 151,905 TOTAL: \$ 259,076

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

PTAB/MRT/9/18/07

In support of the subject's improvement assessment, the board of review submitted property record cards and a grid analysis of three comparable properties located on the subject's street. The comparables consist of two-story style brick or brick and frame dwellings that are 28 years old and range in size from 3,226 to 3,580 square feet of living area. Features of the comparables include central air-conditioning, one fireplace, garages that contain from 480 to 600 square feet of building area and full or partial unfinished basements. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$142,729 to \$162,817 or from \$42.80 to \$45.48 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the board of review requested the subject's total assessment be confirmed.

In rebuttal, the appellant claimed the board of review's comparables had larger basements than the subject and that the comparables' basements were valued at a lower per square foot cost than the subject's basement.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Property Tax Appeal Board further finds that a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted. The appellant's argument was unequal treatment in the assessment process. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal evidence. Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment After an analysis of the assessment data, the jurisdiction. Board finds the appellant has not overcome this burden.

The Board finds the parties submitted six comparables for its consideration. The comparables were all two-story dwellings, similar to the subject in terms of size, age and amenities. They had improvement assessments ranging from \$33.11 to \$45.48 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$41.42 per square foot of living area falls within this range and is below the board of review's comparables that were identical to the subject in age and were located within one block of the subject. The Board thus finds the evidence in the record supports the subject's assessment.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general

operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

In conclusion, the Board finds the appellant failed to establish unequal treatment in the assessment process by clear and convincing evidence and the subject property's assessment as established by the board of review is correct.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board are subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: September 28, 2007

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.